

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 09/724,538</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) SHOEMAKER ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner FRANK W LU</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1634</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 09/724,538	Applicant(s) SHOEMAKER ET AL.	Examiner FRANK W LU	Art Unit 1634
Application No. 09/724,538	Applicant(s) SHOEMAKER ET AL.				
Examiner FRANK W LU	Art Unit 1634				

All Participants:

(1) FRANK W LU

(2) R. Douglas Bradley (Reg. No. 44,553)

Date of Interview: 7 January 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: Applicant agreed with the examiner's amendments.

Status of Application: After non-final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1, 17-20, 36, 89, 90, 263-267, 280, 284, 285, and 293-296

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Bradley agreed with the examiner's amendments

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Frank W Lu /
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)